The Efficacy of Nebulized Soluble Mannitol and Comparison with 5% Hypertonic Saline on Pulmonary Function of Children with Cystic Fibrosis

Saeed Sadr¹, Masood Kiani², *, Mohammad Rezaei³, Ghamartaj Khanbabaee¹, Seyed Ahmad Tabatabaei¹ and Amirhossein Hosseini⁴

¹Department of Pediatric Pulmonology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
²Non-Communicable Pediatric Diseases Research Center, Health Research Institute, Babol University of Medical Sciences, Babol, IR Iran
³Shahid Rajaei Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
⁴Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition Research Center, Research Institute for Children’s Health, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author: Assistant Professor of Pediatric Pulmonology, Non-Communicable Pediatric Diseases Research Center, No 19, Amirkola Children’s Hospital, Amirkola, Babol, Mazandaran Province, 4737-4051, IR Iran. Tel/Fax: +98-4323546963, Email: kianimasood@yahoo.com

Received 2018 October 21; Revised 2019 May 15; Accepted 2019 May 20.

Abstract

Background: One of the main aspects of the treatment in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) is the effective clearing of airway secretions. Inhaled hypertonic saline (HTS) and mannitol are among drugs used for this purpose. There are two forms of mannitol, including dry-powder and soluble form. We used soluble mannitol in this study.

Objectives: The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of inhaled soluble mannitol on the pulmonary function of patients with CF as well as its comparison with 5% HTS.

Methods: This clinical trial study was performed on 30 children with CF ≥ 5 years of age who referred to the CF clinic in Mofid Children’s Hospital. Patients were divided into two groups, including soluble mannitol and 5% HTS group. At baseline and two weeks after the treatment, a spirometry test was done and forced expiratory flow in 1 second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), and Forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of forced vital capacity (FEF25 - 75%) were measured.

Results: The mean of FEV1, FVC, and FEF25 - 75% in the mannitol group before the treatment were 96 ± 30.5%, 99 ± 27%, and 76.3 ± 36.3%, and after the treatment were 100.5 ± 29%, 103.5 ± 23.7%, and 79.9 ± 37.3%, respectively. The difference of FEV1 before and after mannitol was statistically significant (P = 0.031). The mean of FEV1, FVC, and FEF25 - 75% in 5% HTS group were 96.2 ± 25.5%, 99 ± 19.8% and 77.8 ± 35.6% before the treatment and 95.2 ± 20.1%, 99.3 ± 19.2%, 74.2 ± 29.5% after the treatment. There was no significant difference in 5% HTS group.

Conclusions: Inhaled soluble mannitol improved pulmonary function of patients with CF and its effect was better than 5% HTS.
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1. Background

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is the most common inherited life-limiting disease of Caucasians. Most of the CF patients’ morbidity and mortality are caused by the deterioration of pulmonary function (1). The pathogenesis of CF results from reduced hydration of the airway surface, which impairs the clearance of the mucociliary system, and finally, leads to chronic airway obstruction and infection. Thus, the patients with CF mostly experience intermittent pulmonary exacerbations and hospital admissions (2-4).

Numerous treatments are available in order to decrease the inflammatory process and thus the complications, exacerbation periods, and hospitalizations. These therapies include antibiotics, anti-inflammatory drugs, and mucolytics and maneuvers that enhance the airway clearance (4-6). A number of mucolytics have been used in patients with CF. These include inhaled rhDNase, N-acetylcysteine, hypertonic saline, and dry powder mannitol (1, 4).

Hypertonic saline (HTS) and mannitol are hyperosmolar agents that increase airway surface liquid (ASL) and improve the underlying hydration defects in patients with CF. The osmotic gradient by hyperosmolar agents induce transepithelial rehydration and optimize the mucosal viscoelasticity necessary for intact mucociliary clearance function (7-10). Clinical trials have confirmed the efficacy and safety of hypertonic saline as a treatment to improve mucociliary clearance and lung function in patients...
with CF by improving ASL hydration, but this fact has been shown as a short-term effect (4).

Mannitol is sugar-based alcohol that creates an osmotic gradient and moves the water into the lumen and improves the mucociliary clearance (4, 12). It is thought that dry powder mannitol inhalation increases the volume of airway surface liquid and so it aims for mucosal clearance (13, 14). It has been confirmed that inhaled mannitol had advantages for patients with bronchiectasis and CF (13, 15, 16). A triple-phase study had been done by Bilton et al. in which the efficacy and safety of mannitol were proven and also demonstrated that mannitol had clinically significant effects on pulmonary function in patients with CF (13).

There are two forms of mannitol, including dry powder and soluble form. There are several studies conducted on the use of dry powder mannitol in patients with CF. Also, in vitro studies showed that soluble mannitol can be delivered to the lung with respirable particle size using mesh nebulizer device (10). Owing to the lack of mannitol in dry powder in Iran, our study was conducted using soluble mannitol.

2. Objectives

In this study, the effect of nebulized soluble mannitol on the pulmonary function of patients with CF, as well as its comparison with 5% hypertonic saline, were investigated.

3. Methods

Our research is a clinical trial study on 30 patients with CF older than 5 years because of the ability to perform spirometry who referred to the CF clinic of Mofid Children’s Hospital as a referral medical center in Tehran, Iran from January 2016 to June 2016. The trial was approved by the Ethics Committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences (IR.SBMU.REC.1394.62) and was registered in the Iranian Registry of Clinical Trials (IRCT20180307038994N1). The CF was diagnosed in these patients based on clinical manifestations and abnormal results of a sweat chloride test. The patients were entered to study by random sampling and participation in the study was voluntary. After complete explanations and awareness of our research aims and goals, written informed consent was obtained from the parents before each case entered the study.

A basic spirometry was performed for each patient and spirometric results, including forced expiratory flow in 1 second (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), and Forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of forced vital capacity (FEF25 - 75%), were recorded. The patients were divided into two groups by random sampling method. The first group underwent the treatment with soluble mannitol 4 cc twice a day by nebulizer device. We used soluble mannitol because of unavailability of dry-powder mannitol in Iran. Soluble mannitol was prepared in 4 cc vials from a combination of 20% mannitol (3 cc) and 0.9% saline (1 cc). This composition contains 150 mg/mL mannitol. The other group underwent the treatment with hypertonic saline (5%) 5 cc four times a day by nebulizer device. Duration of the treatment was two weeks in both groups. The patients were treated at home with once daily 5% HTS and chest physiotherapy. The patients were stable during the study and did not develop pulmonary exacerbation. Other previous medications used by patients have continued throughout the study period. In this study, medications were nebulized by the “eFlow Rapid Mesh Nebulizer” made by PARI company of Germany. According to the possibility of bronchospasm occurrence after nebulization of mannitol or hypertonic saline, we used a bronchodilator before each time of nebulization. Cough, pharyngitis, bronchospasm, and wheezing are the possible complications of mannitol. During the treatment time, the patients were completely monitored and observed from the point of view of drug complications and adverse effects via follow-up in the clinic or via telephone contact. The second spirometry was done after 2 weeks of treatment.

All the patients’ data were analyzed by SPSS statistics software V.21.0 and reviewed by an expert statistics specialist unaware of the patient group and medications.

4. Results

Thirty patients with CF, including 17 (57%) males and 13 (43%) females, participated in this study. The demographic characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1. The mean of FEV1, FVC, and FEF25-75% before and after the treatment in two groups are presented in Table 2.

In the mannitol group, the mean of FEV1 at baseline was 96 ± 30.5% and after the treatment was 100.5 ± 29%. The difference of FEV1 before and after the mannitol treatment was 4.5% (P = 0.031), which was statistically significant. In

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mannitol</th>
<th>5% HTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>9 (60)</td>
<td>8 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td>7 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>10.4 ± 4.3</td>
<td>11 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: HTS, hypertonic saline.

Values are expressed as mean ± SD or No. (%).
The mean increase in FVC from the baseline after the treatment with mannitol was 4.5%, which was not statistically significant (P = 0.066). At baseline, the mean of FVC in the HTS group was 99 ± 19.8% and after the treatment was 99.3 ± 19.2% in which no significant difference was observed (P = 0.929).

In the mannitol group, the mean of FEF25 · 75% at baseline was 76.3 ± 36.3% and after the treatment was 79.9 ± 37.3%, which had no statistically significant difference (P = 0.426). In the 5% HTS group, the mean of FEF25 · 75% at the beginning of the study was 77.8 ± 35.6% and at the end of the study was 74.2 ± 29.5%, which showed no statistically significant difference (0.352).

### 5. Discussion

Our study showed that inhaling soluble mannitol with mesh nebulizer device could improve pulmonary function. The improvement in pulmonary function following the use of inhaled mannitol is probably due to an increase in mucociliary clearance in central and small airways. In our study, pulmonary function, which was determined based on FEV1, FVC, and FEF25 · 75% measurements before and after receiving mannitol, showed considerable improvement.

The increase in FEV1 after two weeks of mannitol treatment was 4.5%, which was statistically significant (P = 0.031). The FVC and FEF25 · 75% also increased in the mannitol group (FVC: 4.5% and FEF25 · 75%: 3.6%), but despite increasing from baseline, it was not statistically significant (P = 0.66 and P = 0.42, respectively).

Several studies on pulmonary function of the patients with CF indicated the effect of mannitol in the form of dry-powder, as an osmotic agent, led to hydration of airway surface liquid (ASL) and increased mucociliary clearance. In these studies, the improvement in the pulmonary function was demonstrated based on pulmonary function test values. For example, in the Aitken et al. study, which was performed on 318 patients with CF, the increase in FEV1 was 8.2% from baseline in the mannitol group and this study showed that inhaled mannitol improved lung function and this improvement maintained for 26 weeks after the treatment (4). In another study by Bilton et al. on 324 patients with CF, the increase in FEV1 in the mannitol group was 6.5% and in this study, the safety of inhaled mannitol and an improvement in lung function were shown (13). In Jaques et al. study, which was performed on 39 patients with CF, inhaled mannitol treatment over a period of 2 weeks improved lung function (15).

In a Cochrane review by Nolan et al. in 2015, an improvement in pulmonary function and a decrease in pulmonary exacerbation in patients receiving inhaled mannitol were demonstrated (17). In our study, pulmonary function (FEV1, FVC, and FEF25 · 75%) after the treatment indicated no significant difference from baseline in 5% HTS group. There are studies that represent an improvement in pulmonary function using 7% hypertonic saline (11, 18), while in our study 5% HTS was used. According to some studies, with increasing saline concentration, the mucociliary clearance increases (19) and this may, to some extent, be the reason for the inconsistency of our study with studies using 7% HTS; however, a small study in India showed that 3% HTS is as effective as 7% HTS (20). The main difference of our study with other studies using inhaled mannitol is that in other studies, mannitol was used in the form of dry-powder, while in our study mannitol was used in the form of a solution with nebulizer device.

The present study was designed, based on the study of Chan et al., which was performed in vitro in 2011, and showed that soluble mannitol in combination with different saline concentrations using an eFlow rapid mesh nebulizer could be distributed at a particle size of 5 · 6 micrometer (10), and particles with this size could reach the lungs, and was able to show some improvement in pulmonary function. Mannitol complications consisted of cough, hemoptysis, and bronchospasm. In this study, the most common complication in patients receiving mannitol was cough; however, the induced cough could also be one of the therapeutic effects of mannitol and led to an increase in mucociliary clearance.

Hemoptysis was detected in no patient, and bronchospasm was prevented by giving the bronchodilator before using mannitol. Adherence to the treatment is one of the important aspects of treating patients with CF. In the current study, adherence in the mannitol group was bet-

---

**Table 2. Comparison of FEV1, FVC, and FEF25 · 75% Before and After the Treatment in the Two Groups of Mannitol and 5% HTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug, PFT Parameters</th>
<th>Before Treatment</th>
<th>After Treatment</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mannitol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>96 ± 30.5</td>
<td>100.5 ± 29</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>99 ± 27</td>
<td>103.5 ± 23.7</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25 · 75%</td>
<td>76.3 ± 16.3</td>
<td>79.9 ± 37.3</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% HTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>96.2 ± 25.5</td>
<td>95.2 ± 20.1</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>99 ± 19.8</td>
<td>99 ± 19.2</td>
<td>0.929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF25 · 75%</td>
<td>77.8 ± 35.6</td>
<td>74.2 ± 29.5</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FEV1 - forced expiratory volume in 1 second, FVC - forced vital capacity, HTS - hypertonic saline. Values are expressed as mean ± SD.
ter than 5% HTS group, and this can be due to the use of mannitol twice a day instead of 5% HTS four times a day.

5.1. Conclusions

Our study demonstrated that inhaling soluble mannitol using the eFlow rapid mesh nebulizer could improve the pulmonary function of patients with CF, and its effects on pulmonary function of these patients were better than 5% HTS. This study also revealed that inhaling the soluble mannitol is safe and tolerable for the patients. Meanwhile, the use of soluble mannitol with nebulizer allows the drug to be used in younger children who cannot use the mannitol in dry-powder form. However, more extensive studies are needed to recommend the use of soluble mannitol as well as its safety and efficacy.
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